

## at COOPERation on April 26, 2003

presented by Sebastian Schuberth (Founder of ORT, IT Consultant)

### About Myself

- Master of Computer Science (German Diploma)
  - Studied at TU Braunschweig and FU Berlin
  - Focus on Computer Graphics, Robotics, and Cryptology
- Open Source enthusiast
  - Started with fixing bugs in software that I use
  - Contributing to Open Source for decades
    - ~ 3400 GitHub contributions / year
  - Wide network of trust
    - Reviewing code in about a dozen GitHub organizations



#### About Myself (continued)

- Long experience in developing proprietary software
  - From high-performance computing to low-power mobile apps
- Automation "freak"
  - Configuration as Code instead of GUIs
- Motivation
  - Take out the process pain of software development
  - Bridge the gap between Open Source and proprietary software development
  - Advocate for an open and transparent engineering culture

#### Problem Statement





#### Problem Statement (continued)



#### Problem Statement (continued)



#### Problem Statement (continued)



#### License Obligations (OSADL matrix)

Compatibility*	OBSD	AFL-2.0	AFL-2.1	AFL-3.0	AGPL-3.0- only	AGPL-3.0- or-later	Apache-1.0	Apache-1.1	Apache-2.0	Artistic-1.0	Artisti 1.0-Pe
OBSD	Same	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
AFL-2.0	Yes	Same	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
AFL-2.1	Yes	Yes	Same	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
AFL-3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Same	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
AGPL-3.0-only	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Same	Yes	No	No	Yes	Unknown	Unknov
AGPL-3.0-or-later	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	No	Same	No	No	Yes	Unknown	Unknov
Apache-1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Same	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Apache-1.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Same	Yes	Yes	Yes
Apache-2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Same	Yes	Yes
Artistic-1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Same	Yes
Artistic-1.0-Perl	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Same
Artistic-2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
blessing	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BSD-1-Clause	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BSD-2-Clause	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BSD-2-Clause- Patent	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BSD-3-Clause	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BSD-4-Clause	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BSD-4-Clause-UC	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BSD-Source-Code	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BSL-1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
bzip2-1.0.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
bzip2-1.0.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CCO-1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CDDL-1.0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	No	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknow
CDDL-1.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	No	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknov
CPL-1.0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	No	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknov
curl	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

#### Common Pitfalls

- Which pairs of licenses do I need to look up?
- Caring about direct dependencies only is enough
  - No, transitive dependencies might be distributed as well
- Relying on the declared license is sufficient
  - No, you have invest "best effort" to double-check / detect licenses
- This is just about some crazy company policies
  - No, this is actually about law (German "Urheberrecht")

#### Common Pitfalls (continued)

- Which pairs of licenses do I need to look up?
- Caring about direct dependencies only is enough
  - No, transitive dependencies might be distributed as well
- Relying on the declared license is sufficient
  - No, you have invest "best effort" to double-check / detect licenses
- This is just about some crazy company policies
  - No, this is actually about law (German "Urheberrecht")

This is getting complex

#### Common Pitfalls (continued)

- Which pairs of licenses do I need to look up?
- Caring about direct dependencies only is enough
  - No, transitive dependencies might be distributed as well
- Relying on the declared license is sufficient
  - No, you have invest "best effort" to double-check / detect licenses
- This is just about some crazy company policies
  - No, this is actually about law (German "Urheberrecht")



## Evaluation of Tools (back in 2016)

- No single good fit for all requirements
- Dependencies not correct
  - Not fully transitive
  - Scopes not supported
  - Version conflict resolution not considered
- Not configurable enough
  - Policy rules
  - Attribution documents
- Commercial
  - Vendor lock-in
  - Black box
  - No data ownership

## Evaluation of Tools (continued)

#### • Decision to build own tooling

- Fill the gaps, do not reinvent the wheel
- Abstract away underlying tools to ease comparison / migration
- "Do one thing and do it well" Unix philosophy
- Run equally well on CI and locally
- Simple human- and machine-readable result format
- Comprehensible results
- Prototype was a collection of scripts
  - One script per language / package manager
- Rewrite as unified tool
  - Use Kotlin for fun and profit  $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\odot}}$

#### What is ORT?

- The OSS Review Toolkit is a suite of tools that *"aims to assist with the tasks that commonly need to be performed in the context of license compliance checks"* 
  - Focus is on applications
- Used / evaluated by several bigger companies
  - Alliander, Bosch, BMW Car IT, CARIAD, EPAM, HERE Technologies, Lectra, Porsche, and more
- Scope is continuously being extended
  - Security, commercial license management, Open-Sourceing checks

## What is ORT not (yet)?

- The OSS Review Toolkit isn't a legal workflow tool
- No GUI (yet) to *interactively* work with the results
  - There is much more data than shown in any of the reports
- ORT was not designed for checking compliance of Docker images or Linux distributions

#### Goal and Workflow

# Highly automate Open Source compliance process Fulfill Open Source license obligations (redistribution of source code, attribution to Copyright holders, license compatibility) <u>Analyze</u> project dependencies Download the source code

#### Goal and Workflow (continued)

## <u>Scan</u> for Copyright holders Scan for licenses used (declared vs. detected licenses) **Evaluate rules** (license compatibility, company-internal policies) <u>Report</u> about the outcome

## ORT Building Blocks

- <u>Analyzer</u>
  - Software Composition Analysis (SCA) tool to determine dependencies of software projects
- <u>Advisor</u>
  - Find known security vulnerabilities or defects
- <u>Scanner</u> / <u>Downloader</u>
  - Extract licenses / copyrights from downloaded source code
- Evaluator
  - Implement the actual checks and policy rules
- <u>Reporter</u>
  - Create attribution documents and assets like source code bundles

## The ORT 🔬 Analyzer (design decisions)

- Inspect projects from the "outside", <u>no build system plugins</u>
  - No changes to the projects required
    - No vendor lock-in
    - Can analyze projects not under your control (like Open Source projects)
- First analyze everything and filter data later
  - No reanalysis needed when distribution scope changes
- Take version conflict resolution into account
  - Static parsing of "definition files" (like pom.xml) is not enough
- Supports ~20 package managers / ecosystems
  - Conan, Go, Java, JavaScript / Node, .NET, Python, Ruby, Rust and <u>more</u>
- Fallback to <u>SPDX documents</u> if no package manager available

## The ORT *S* Analyzer (example output)

355	- package:
356	id: "Maven:org.apache.commons:commons-text:1.1"
357	purl: "pkg:maven/org.apache.commons/commons-text@1.1"
358	authors:
359	- "Benedikt Ritter"
360	- "Bruno P. Kinoshita"
361	- "Gary Gregory"
362	- "Rob Tompkins"
363	- "The Apache Software Foundation"
364	declared_licenses:
365	- "Apache License, Version 2.0"
366	declared_licenses_processed:
367	spdx_expression: "Apache-2.0"
368	mapped:
369	Apache License, Version 2.0: "Apache-2.0"
370	description: "Apache Commons Text is a library focused on algorithms working\
371	\ on strings."
372	homepage_url: "http://commons.apache.org/proper/commons-text/"
373	binary_artifact:
374	url: "https://repo.maven.apache.org/maven2/org/apache/commons/commons-text/1.1/commons-text-1.1.jar"
375	hash:
376	value: "c336bf600f44b88af356c8a85eef4af822b06a4d"
377	algorithm: "SHA-1"
378	source_artifact:
379	url: "https://repo.maven.apache.org/maven2/org/apache/commons/commons-text/1.1/commons-text-1.1-sources.jar"
380	hash:
381	value: "f0770f7f0472bf120ada47beecadce4056fbd20a"
382	algorithm: "SHA-1"

## The ORT 湷 Advisor

- Advise about
  - security vulnerabilities
  - defects (bugs)
- Providers
  - Google OSV
  - VulnerableCode
  - OSS Index
  - Nexus IQ
  - GitHub

320	advisor:
321	start time: "2021-04-29T14:54:16.562951Z"
322	end time: "2021-04-29T14:54:18.969210Z"
323	environment:
	ort_version: "7fcbb3b"
	java_version: "11.0.8"
	os: "Linux"
	processors: 4
	max_memory: 12884901888
	variables:
	JAVA_HOME: "/opt/java/openjdk"
	ANDROID_HOME: "/opt/android-sdk"
	GOPATH: "/go"
	<pre>tool_versions: {}</pre>
	config:
	nexus_iq:
	<pre>server_url: "https://oss-review-toolkit.org"</pre>
	<pre>browse_url: "https://oss-review-toolkit.org"</pre>
	username: "user"
	results:
	advisor_results:
	Maven:junit:junit:4.12:
	- vulnerabilities:
	- id: "CVE-2020-15250"
344	references:
345	<ul> <li>url: "http://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2020</li> </ul>
346	scoring_system: "CVSS2"
347	severity: "5.5"
348	advisor:
349	name: "NexusIQ"
350	summary:
	start_time: "2021-04-29T14:54:17.322191Z"
352	end_time: "2021-04-29T14:54:18.966672Z"
353	has_issues: false

15250"

#### The ORT 🚧 Scanner (introduction)

- Not a scanner by itself
  - Fill the gaps, do not reinvent the wheel
  - Abstraction layer (also eases migration)
- Wraps any configured scanners
  - Unify results (also eases comparison)
  - License scanners: ScanCode, Licensee (GitHub), Askalono
  - Snippet scanners: FossID, SCANOSS
- Uses the downloader programmatically to download source code from VCS or artifacts

#### The ORT 🚧 Scanner (example output)

194	scan_results:
195	- id: "Maven:com.vdurmont:semver4j:3.1.0"
196	results:
197	- provenance:
198	vcs_info:
199	type: "Git"
200	url: "https://github.com/vdurmont/semver4j.git"
201	revision: "7653e418d610ffcd2811bcb55fd72d00d420950b"
202	path: ""
203	resolved_revision: "7653e418d610ffcd2811bcb55fd72d00d420950b"
204	scanner:
205	name: "ScanCode"
206	version: "3.2.1-rc2"
207	configuration: "copyrightlicenseignore *.ort.ymlinfostrip-root\
208	\timeout 300ignore META-INF/DEPENDENCIESjson-pp"
209	summary:
210	start_time: "2020-09-30T09:27:12.023451Z"
211	end_time: "2020-09-30T09:28:20.525647Z"
212	package_verification_code: "48ba11487d53ce933b5d4db1d069b70a803ff19b"
213	licenses:
214	- license: "BSD-3-Clause"
215	location:
216	path: "pom.xml"
217	start_line: 28
218	end_line: 34
219	- license: "MIT"
220	location:
221	path: "LICENSE.md"
222	start_line: 1
223	end_line: 1

#### The ORT 🛧 Evaluator (introduction)

- Freely programmable via a Kotlin DSL
- Full access to all metadata of an ORT result
- Not limited to Open Source license checks
  - Could also do checks unrelated to licenses at all
- Makes use of configured license classifications
  - Do not (only) classify per license category, but per obligation

#### The ORT 🛧 Evaluator (example script)

135	<pre>packageRule("COPYLEFT_IN_SOURCE") {</pre>
136	require {
137	-isExcluded()
138	}
139	
140	licenseRule("COPYLEFT_IN_SOURCE", LicenseView.CONCLUDED_OR_DECLARED_AND_DETECTED) {
141	require {
142	-isExcluded()
143	+isCopyleft()
144	}
145	
146	<pre>val message = if (licenseSource == LicenseSource.DETECTED) {</pre>
147	"The ScanCode copyleft categorized license \$license was \${licenseSource.name.lowercase()} " +
148	"in package \${pkg.id.toCoordinates()}."
149	} else {
150	"The package \${pkg.id.toCoordinates()} has the \${licenseSource.name.lowercase()} ScanCode copyleft " +
151	"catalogized license \$license."
152	}
153	
154	error(message, howToFixDefault())
155	}
156	

#### The ORT 💒 **Reporter** (introduction)

- Creates various assets for both distribution and internal use
  - Themed PDF attribution documents
  - NOTICE files
  - HTML reports
  - (S)BOM formats (SPDX, CycloneDX)
  - JSON output for further processing
- Support for format-specific options

## The ORT 🐸 **Reporter** (example output)

Immary III Table 📲 Tree		
• Scanned revision of Git repository		
o Found 1 files defining 5 unique dependencies within 2 sca	ppes and 2 dependency levels	
O Detected 3 declared licenses		
<ul> <li>Completed scan with 1 unresolved issue</li> </ul>		
⑦ Issues (1)		
License	♦ ▼ Packages ♦	
C Apacha 2.0		2 package(s)
	۷	50.00%
BSD-3-Clause	1	
► EPL-1.0	1	
		Apacne-2.0

## Integrations

- Jenkins
  - Declarative pipeline with Docker build steps

Stage View										
	Configure pipeline	Build ORT Docker image	Clone project	Clone ORT configuration	Run ORT analyzer	Run ORT scanner	Run ORT advisor	Run ORT evaluator	Run ORT reporter	
Average stage times:	685ms	2min 47s	9s	0ms	9s	8s	9s	5s	3s =	
(#3: Mavencom.vdurmontsemver4j:3.1.0) Jul 14 4 18:13 commits	772ms	2min 44s	9s		9s	8s	9s	10s	7s	

- <u>GitLab CI</u>
  - Tutorial <u>video</u> available
- GitHub Action

#### The ORT Workbench



#### The ORT Server

- Backend / frontend architecture in addition to CLI
  - Main goal is scalability
  - Will also simplify the setup / workflow
- Work has started
  - Source code not publicly available yet

#### Questions & Answers

Thank you! Any questions? Reach out to me via: <u>sschuberth@gmail.com</u> <u>https://www.linkedin.com/in/sschuberth</u> <u>https://github.com/sschuberth</u>