# License compliance and related company processes

# **Basic lecture:** OSADL License Compliance Audit (LCA) and common pitfalls

#### Caren Kresse Open Source Automation Development Lab (OSADL) eG





# Why license compliance?

- Software is protected by **copyright law**.
- Whether a software is Open Source is determined by its **license**.
- Open Source licenses give **unrestricted and unconditional permission to run, analyze and modify** the software.
- **Copying and distribution** are permitted provided that **license conditions** are complied with.





# Why license compliance?

- Compliance with legal provisions and regulations includes license compliance.
  - → To prevent copyright infringement, protected works may only be copied and distributed when a valid license is obtained.





# What is the LCA?

- A product audit with analysis of company processes
- To support companies in fulfilling Open Source license obligations and organize the compliant distribution of embedded Linux systems
- An audit of GPL-2.0 (Linuxkernel) and LGPL-2.1 (GNU C Library)
- Representative for general Open Source license compliance





# Procedure

- The product is presented to the auditor as it is distributed (including all documentation).
- The **license obligations** of (L)GPL are checked:
  - Information obligations
  - Disclosure obligations
  - License obligations for modified software
  - Documentation and contracts
  - Additional obligations of LGPL
  - Tivoization
- A report with the results and possible error analysis is created.





### **Information obligations** GPL-2.0 Art. 1; LGPL-2.1 Art. 1

- a) Delivering license texts
- b) Delivering copyright notices
- c) Delivering the Disclaimer of Warranty





### a) Delivering license texts GPL-2.0 Art. 1; LGPL-2.1 Art. 1

- License text of **GPL-2.0 WITH Linux-syscall-note**
- License text of LGPL-2.1
- Informing recipient on where to find the license texts





### a) Delivering license texts GPL-2.0 Art. 1; LGPL-2.1 Art. 1

- License text of **GPL-2.0 WITH Linux-syscall-note**
- License text of LGPL-2.1
- Informing recipient on where to find the license texts
- On paper or on medium (CD, USB drive) or on the system; not a URL
- Additional note: The license texts of all licenses in the Linux kernel must be included.





### b) Delivering copyright notices GPL-2.0 Art. 1; LGPL-2.1 Art. 1

- "conspicuously and appropriately publish on each copy an appropriate copyright notice"
- Informing recipient on where to find the copyright notices





### b) Delivering copyright notices GPL-2.0 Art. 1; LGPL-2.1 Art. 1

- "conspicuously and appropriately publish on each copy an appropriate copyright notice"
- Informing recipient on where to find the copyright notices
- If the source code is not delivered along with the product, all copyright notices must be extracted and delivered separately.





### c) Delivering the Warranty Disclaimer GPL-2.0 Art. 1; LGPL-2.1 Art. 1

- "conspicuously and appropriately publish on each copy an appropriate [...] disclaimer of warranty"
- Warranty disclaimer in favor of the original authors
- It is not sufficient to be included in the license text.





### **c) Delivering the Warranty Disclaimer** GPL-2.0 Art. 1; LGPL-2.1 Art. 1

- "conspicuously and appropriately publish on each copy an appropriate [...] disclaimer of warranty"
- Warranty disclaimer in favor of the original authors
- It is not sufficient to be included in the license text.

#### Must be delivered separately





### **Disclosure obligations** GPL-2.0 Art. 3; LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

- Disclosure of the "Complete Corresponding Source Code" (CCSC) including modifications
- Either: Delivery along with the product
- Or: Written offer for delivery
  - Valid for 3 years, to any 3<sup>rd</sup> party, no profit
  - Requires processes to be able to handle incoming requests
- On customary medium





### **Disclosure obligations** GPL-2.0 Art. 3; LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

- Disclosure of the "Complete Corresponding Source Code" (CCSC) including modifications
- Either: Delivery along with the product
- Or: Written offer for delivery
  - Valid for 3 years, to any 3<sup>rd</sup> party, no profit
  - Requires processes to be able to handle incoming requests
- On customary medium
- Including build instructions
- Rebuild is tested on independent system





### License obligations for modified software GPL-2.0 Art. 2; LGPL-2.1 Art. 2

- Modification notices (e.g. **Patches**)
- Correct licensing of modifications
- Changes from the vanilla kernel are identified with the **Linux kernel delta scan**.





# **Digression: Delta scan**

https://github.com/armijnhemel/compliance-scripts/tree/master/osadl-audit/

- Assumption: All files of official Linux kernel releases from kernel.org are correctly licensed.
- **Hashcodes** are generated and stored in a **database** for all files of all kernel versions from kernel.org.
- Hashcodes are also generated for the kernel that is to be analyzed and compared with the database.
- Only those files that are not in the database have been modified or added and must be checked individually.
- This reduces the license clearance process enormously.





# **Digression: Delta scan (example)**

https://github.com/armijnhemel/compliance-scripts/tree/master/osadl-audit/

\$ ./osadlaudit.py -s linux-5.4.77/ -c audit.config SCANNING 52536 files 4 FILES NOT FOUND IN DATABASE DETERMINING LICENSE OF FILES NOT FOUND linux-5.4.77/[...]/am335x-wega-bw.dts ScanCode: ['GPL-2.0-only'] FOSSology: ['GPL-2.0'] linux-5.4.77/[...]/kirkwood-wut.dts ScanCode: ['GPL-2.0-only'] FOSSology: ['GPL-2.0'] linux-5.4.77/[...]/bmp280-core.c ScanCode: ['GPL-2.0-only'] FOSSology: ['GPL-2.0'] linux-5.4.77/[...]/weather.c ScanCode: ['GPL-2.0-or-later'] FOSSology: ['GPL-2.0']





### **Documentation and contracts** GPL-2.0 Art. 6; LGPL-2.1 Art. 10

• "You may not impose any further restrictions on the recipients' exercise of the rights granted herein."





### **Documentation and contracts** GPL-2.0 Art. 6; LGPL-2.1 Art. 10

- "You may not impose any further restrictions on the recipients' exercise of the rights granted herein."
- Terms of Use, EULAs, etc. may not contradict Open Source licenses.





### **Documentation and contracts** GPL-2.0 Art. 6; LGPL-2.1 Art. 10

• "You may not impose any further restrictions on the recipients' exercise of the rights granted herein."

#### • Terms of Use, EULAs, etc. may not contradict Open Source licenses.

• It is recommended to add a section on Open Source to existing documents: *"The application contains components which are licensed as Open Source software. The components to which this relates and the respective license terms are enclosed with this license text. The licensee is granted a non-exclusive right of use for the Open Source software by the respective right holders; the conditions stipulated by the respective valid license terms apply. The license terms of this license only apply to the components which are not listed as Open Source software."* 





### Additional obligations of LGPL LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

- a) Permission for modification and reengineering
- b) Notice of use and license of the library
- c) Relinking with modified library





### a) Permission for modification and reengineering LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

 For proprietary applications linking the glibc, permission to modify and reengineer the application to debug such modifications must be given, e.g.

"Modifications of this software for the user's own use and reverse engineering for debugging such modifications are herewith permitted."

• But may be limited:

*"However, forwarding the knowledge acquired during reverse engineering or debugging to third parties is prohibited. Furthermore, it is prohibited to distribute modified versions of this software. In any case, warranty claims on this software will expire, as long as the customers cannot prove that the defect would also occur without these modifications."* 





### a) Permission for modification and reengineering LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

- Third-party software from external suppliers:
  - Permission must also be given if the glibc is used
  - If the suppliers do not deliver the library, they are not obligated by copyright law to give the permission.
  - − Should be considered before buying third-party software
     → Dependency scan
- OSADL Legal Assessment: "Licence obligations under the LGPL-2.1 when used with proprietary third-party applications or libraries"





### b) Notice of use and license of the library LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

- "You must give prominent notice with each copy of the work that the Library is used in it and that the Library and its use are covered by this License."
- "This software uses the GNU C Library licensed under the LGPL-2.1."





### c) Relinking with modified library LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

- It mus be made possible to relink the proprietary application with a modified version of the library.
- Either: A shared library mechanism is used, i.e. dynamic linking.





### c) Relinking with modified library LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

- It mus be made possible to relink the proprietary application with a modified version of the library.
- Either: A shared library mechanism is used, i.e. dynamic linking.
- Or: If glibc is statically linked, the proprietary application must be provided in linkable form (.a file).





### **Tivoization** GPL-2.0 Art. 3; LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

• "[...] plus the scripts used to control compilation and installation of the executable."





### **Tivoization** GPL-2.0 Art. 3; LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

- "[...] plus the scripts used to control compilation and installation of the executable."
- Installing modified versions must be allowed (on request).





### **Tivoization** GPL-2.0 Art. 3; LGPL-2.1 Art. 6

• "[...] plus the scripts used to control compilation and installation of the executable."

### • Installing modified versions must be allowed (on request).

- Best Practices, e.g. for encrypted systems:
  - Password on request
  - Send-in-solution
  - Expiration of warranty, guaranty and safety certification
  - Removal of trademarks should be requested





# **Additional note: Updates**

- Updates are a **separate distribution**.
  - $\rightarrow$  License obligations must be fulfilled separately and completely.
- Publicly downloadable firmware updates are the preferred **target of GPL trolls**.





# **LCA** report

- License obligation
  - Result
  - (Cause of error)
  - (Correction of error)
  - (Possible improvements)
  - Conclusion





# **Follow-up audit**

- License obligation
  - Result

  - (Possible improvements)
  - Conclusion

# Cause of error Correction of error Follow-up audit





# **LCA certificate**

- License obligation
  - Result

- (Possible improvements)
- Conclusion







# Conclusion

- To achieve license compliance and avoid common pitfalls:
  - Implement company processes
  - Use license checklists (e.g. osadl.org/OSLOC)
  - Test the **rebuild** on an independent system
- Build systems (e.g. Yocto, Buildroot) support with collecting compliance material (e.g. CCSC, licenses), but a manual check of automatically generated material is recommended.
- Some license obligations can never be fulfilled automatically (e.g. documentation, contracts, licensing).

### → License compliance always requires manual action!





# **Conclusion: FOSS-Policy**

- Compliance is organized with a **FOSS-Policy**:
  - to avoid copyright infringements
  - to create and maintain **processes** within a company
  - to establish **understanding** of concepts related to FOSS
  - to provide **control** about licensing of a company's IP
  - to meet customer requirements
- → OSADL Open Source Policy Template (*osadl.org/os-policy*) → OpenChain Project (*openchainproject.org*)









